8 January 2019

To Whom It May Concern:

Natural nighttime darkness is rapidly disappearing from much of our world. Over 99% of people living in the United States and Europe live under night skies contaminated by artificial light at night (ALAN)\(^1\). Beyond the aesthetic value of dark night skies and its connection to art and culture, there are distinct environmental and rural economic development benefits associated with the conservation of natural darkness. ALAN emission into the nocturnal environment has been identified as a threat to a host of organisms, including plants\(^2\), mammals\(^3\), birds\(^4\), and insect pollinators of food crops\(^5\). These effects of ALAN potentially involve responses in humans as well\(^6\). Sustainable tourism programs increasingly identify access to naturally dark night skies as a desirable attribute among visitors to parks and protected areas\(^7\).

A number of national-level public policies have been enacted to address the problem. Regional policy in Italy's northern Lombardy region\(^8\) and national laws in Slovenia\(^9\) and France\(^10\) have come into force in recent years with the intent of reducing the impact of outdoor lighting in parts of Europe and helping to maintain the integrity of natural nighttime darkness where it still exists. Many of these laws are tied to European Union (EU) targets for reducing carbon emissions in order to meet obligations under international legal conventions aimed at reducing climate change\(^11\). Reducing electricity consumption through improved outdoor lighting is one way to approach meeting these requirements\(^12\).

Taking cues from these European examples, Croatia enacted a national light pollution law in 2012. However, it is generally now agreed that those laws were ineffective at addressing outdoor lighting issues and that a need exists to update policies in order to bring them into conformity with revised EU environmental standards published since 2015. The Croatian Ministry of the Environment and Energy recently convened a work group consisting of staff from various government ministries as well as members of Croatian astronomy societies and the Naše Nebo society, an organization that advocates for the protection of the night sky. Many of the suggestions of those among the group with expertise in the abatement of light pollution were incorporated into the text of the bill. The legislative proposal is known as the “Law on Protection against Light Pollution”.

As the preamble of the proposal states, its constitutional basis is contained in Article 2, paragraph 4 (sub-paragraphs 1 and 2) Article 3, and Article 52 of the Constitution of the Republic of Croatia\(^13\). It is further supported by Article 32 of the Environmental Protection...
Act\textsuperscript{14}, which provides for light pollution as “a change of the natural light level in night conditions caused by the introduction of light produced by human activity.” Based on the Environmental Protection Act, in 2011 the Croatian Ministry of Environmental Protection and Energy, passed the Law on Protection against Light Pollution\textsuperscript{15}, which entered into force on 1 January 2012.

The International Dark-Sky Association (IDA) was initially hopeful about a number of provisions in the legislative proposal that we considered quite robust in nature. These included:

- **Clearly stated objectives** (Articles 2 and 8). These consist of reducing light pollution generally; protecting human health, biodiversity, the ecology, and sustainable development; ensuring proper design of lighting before installation; and reducing the overall electricity consumption of lighting.
- **Extensive definition of terms used throughout the law** (Article 5), including “ecology lighting” (fully shielded lighting having 0\% illumination above the horizontal, plus correlated color temperature, or CCT, not to exceed 2700K) that is the only form of lighting allowed outdoors. It is an important advance over existing national laws elsewhere in the world.
- **Limited exemptions** (Article 3). These include temporary lighting in places like construction sites, along with lighting required in cases of emergencies and disasters as well as use of lighting by the military.
- **A permitting process** (Article 10) requiring lighting installers to be licensed by the state.
- **Various forms of lighting prohibited** (Article 11). Sky beams, uplighting, and external illumination of windows and doors, and illuminated signs and lamps whose correlated color temperature exceeds 2200K in ecologically sensitive places are all forbidden under the proposal. Screening of interior lights to prevent outdoor light pollution is required, and although permitted, architectural facade lighting must be strictly confined to building faces and cannot spill beyond those surfaces.
- **Required submission of lighting plans to ensure compliance with the law** (Articles 12 and 13).
- **Enforcement of the law through a robust inspection regime** (Articles 16, 17 and 18).
- **Obligation of local governments to proactively implement and enforce the law** (Article 6).

However, in the months since the legislation was introduced in the Hrvatski Sabor, amendments have been proposed with we feel significantly undermine both the intent and the practical effect of the new policy. The following summarizes these changes, dated 22\textsuperscript{nd} November 2018:
• **Article 3, section 1, line 1:** All production facilities would be exempt from complying with the new law. We are very confused by the reasoning for this policy. While we readily agree that light is needed for outdoor work during nighttime hours at these facilities, there is no clear reason why that light should not also conform to the prescriptions elsewhere in the document imposing a CCT limit of 3000K and an upward light output ratio (ULOR) of 0%. In comparison, the Slovene law requires that lighting in workplaces shall not be more than 10% higher than the values provided by the standard\(^\text{16}\). We believe that the Slovene provision should be viewed as a best practice. At the same time, while we appreciate that the legislation calls for outdoor lighting at production facilities to be extinguished within 30 minutes of the end of regular business hours, we note that many such facilities in Croatia operate continuously for 24 hours per day. The lack of a reasonable lighting curfew in these cases is problematic in the context of overall environmental protection.

• We also note the revision in **Article 5, item 5**, of the allowed CCT to 3000K from 2700K, while arguing that the lower value is a better option and should be retained.

• **Article 3, section 2** provides exemptions for “temporary” lighting, problematic wording that includes all ports, cultural heritage structures, and even entire towns if the law so designates them. We believe this provision is far too broad in scope.

• **Article 5, item 5,** and **Article 11, section 5, item 9** exempt landscape lighting from the 0% ULOR requirement elsewhere. This essentially guts the requirement of full shielding in landscape situations because of the tendency to light trees from below. While Article 11 requires that light should be confined to the shape of the object illuminated, this is obviously impossible in the case of vegetation. This change disadvantages environmental protection and is incompatible with the stated aims of the legislation. 2700K white LED chips have become ubiquitous for the lighting of interior settings, and most global manufacturers can easily supply the same for outdoor light fixtures. We find no evidence of supply-chain limitations that would prevent Croatian lighting engineers from specifying a 2700K color temperature standard in outdoor lighting designs.

• **Article 11, section 5, item 10** retains the 2200K CCT limit and allows no lighting of billboards in protected places such as nature parks. However, this provision does not go far enough in these sensitive areas. We argue that, rather, landscape lighting should be prohibited in protected places, and restrictions on the lighting of roadways immediately adjacent to these places should also exist.

• **Article 12, section 1** requires that all construction projects must adhere to the complete set of lighting standards specified in Article 9, which refers to the British Standards Institution’s lighting standard BS EN 13201 for roadway lighting\(^\text{17}\). We concur with the conclusion of the European COST Action ES 1204 (Loss Of The Night Network)\(^\text{18}\) that the recommended minimum street lighting specifications of BS EN 13201 are inappropriately high and consensus-based levels not rooted in empirical evidence\(^\text{19}\).
We strongly urge the Hrvatski Sabor to reject any version of the proposed legislation inclusive of the recent changes outlined above and adopt the original version introduced previously. Otherwise, the legislature runs the risk of repeating mistakes made in the enactment of previous Croatian light pollution laws that prioritized various commercial and industrial concerns over environmental concerns. If the stated intent of the legislation – to “protect against light pollution caused by ambient light emitted by artificial light sources exposed to humans, the plant and animal world in air and water, other natural goods, the night sky and [astronomical] observatories” – is the true motive for considering this legislation, then the Sabor is obligated to vacate the proposed changes and adopt what would immediately become the world's most progressive law aimed at protecting the natural nighttime environment.

Respectfully,

John C. Barentine, Ph.D.
Director of Public Policy
International Dark-Sky Association
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